A new concept in range cow supplement formulation

by Richard Waterman, Fort Keogh Research Animal Scientist

Why is this important?
Cattle grazing dormant rangelands often show yearly variation in response to supplementation and these variations perhaps are partially due to differences in glucose (blood sugar) availability and subsequent metabolism, which may be influenced by forage conditions (quality and quantity). Unlike humans, cows absorb minimal amounts of glucose from their diet and therefore must rely on their own bodies’ ability to produce glucose. However, without proper precursors or precursors in appropriate amounts, glucose cannot be produced and production (return to estrus, weight gain etc.) can be compromised. Nutritional status of young cows with suckling calves varies by year depending upon the onset and duration of green vegetation. A study during two consecutive dry years, 2000 and 2001 (driest), evaluated range protein supplements differing in source and quantity of ingredients that could be used for glucose production in a cow.

What can be supplemented?
Supplements were fed to 87 Angus x Hereford two-year-old postpartum cows at 2 lbs per day and provided 0.7 lb crude protein (CP) per day. Three supplements, all 36% crude protein, were tested and they differed in ingredients that could be used to make glucose by cows. The first supplement treatment was a traditional good quality cottonseed meal based cube (36% CP) that has a low glucose potential (Logluc). The second contained cottonseed meal and bypass protein (feather meal), which would have a moderate glucose potential (Midgluc). The third supplement consisted of the Midgluc supplement with propionate salt (NutroCal™ Kemin Industries Inc) added to create a high glucose potential (Higluc). Supplements were individually fed two times per week at 11am on Mondays and Fridays for approximately 90 d following calving. Cows rotationally grazed three pastures during the study. Performance variables measured included cow and calf body weight change, milk production, days to first estrus, and pregnancy rate. In addition each supplement group of cows were subjected to a glucose tolerance test (a measurement of how fast cows can utilize a large dose of glucose) during the study. The effect of year and treatment (supplements) did not interact so the data was combined for both years. Some of the key results are listed in Table 1.

What does this mean?
Although fall pregnancy rates were similar for cows fed each supplement, the cows fed the Higluc supplement cycled 9 days earlier (half an estrous cycle sooner) compared to cows consuming the Logluc supplement. The
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Table 1. Young cows fed range supplements with higher glucose potential cycled sooner.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Logluc</th>
<th>Midgluc</th>
<th>Higluc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cow weight change</td>
<td>46.0 lb</td>
<td>22.5 lb</td>
<td>38.3 lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(calving to breeding)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk production</td>
<td>11.9 lb</td>
<td>14.3 lb</td>
<td>13.2 lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf weaning weight</td>
<td>465 lb</td>
<td>480 lb</td>
<td>469 lb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days to first estrus</td>
<td>119 d</td>
<td>114 d</td>
<td>110 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall pregnancy</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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lowered glucose half-life (44 minutes lower) in the Higluc supplemented cows compared to the Logluc supplemented cows may partially demonstrate an improvement in glucose utilization for these cows. Cows that can clear glucose from their blood into tissues faster are an indicator that other nutrients may be utilized more efficiently. Identifying limiting nutrients and the timing (season) that nutrients become limiting may greatly enhance economical and production practices for the future.

~Direction of future research~

One of the major concerns for livestock producers across the country and especially here in the Northern Great Plains includes increasing concerns about what can be done to control noxious weeds that are invading rangelands. Noxious weeds are invading rangelands and decreasing the amount of vegetation available to sustain livestock enterprises. Therefore, a position was created at the USDA-ARS Fort Keogh Livestock and Range Research Laboratory to investigate the role and interactions involved between livestock and noxious weeds. The primary (initial) direction for this research will include a collaborative effort dedicated to discover and implement practices that assist producers in controlling noxious weeds. This effort will strive to ensure the sustainability of livestock enterprises. Research will evaluate many aspect of livestock production which include grazing behavior, grazing systems, diet selection and preference, nutritional ramifications and how grazing can be manipulated with different supplements or dietary additives. In addition, the ecological impact will be evaluated in combination with livestock grazing to identify key interactions between noxious weeds and livestock that occur. As this collaborative effort progresses we hope to provide valuable information to producers that will aid in recapturing or preventing further infestation of noxious weeds on our valuable rangelands.

Other research projects will evaluate how season of year can impact the ability of grazing livestock to utilize dietary nutrients. One primary emphasis for this research will be to discover and implement supplementation protocols that optimize reproduction by targeting seasons of the year when nutrients becoming limiting or are supplied in insufficient quantities. One nutrient that comes to mind is protein, especially when cattle are grazing mature dormant vegetation. To go a step further would be to investigate the building blocks of proteins (amino acids) and determine whether or not a single amino acid or group of amino acids are deficient in diets consumed by livestock grazing rangelands during a particular season and livestock production stage.
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MSU Collegiate Cattlewomen Annual Educational Forum, Wednesday, April 7, 2004, 1 p.m., Free
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